

A Study on a Subset of Absolutely Convergent Sequence Space

U. K. Misra¹, M. Misra², N. Subramanian³ and P. Samanta⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Berhampur University
Berhampur-760 007, Orissa, India
umakanta_misra@yahoo.com

²Principal, Government Science College
Malkangiri, Orissa, India

³Department of Mathematics, Sastra University
Tanjore-613 402, Tamilnadu, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, Gopalpur College
Gopalpur on sea, Orissa, India

Abstract: In this paper, we define the section sequence space ℓ_s which is called the section sequence space of ℓ and study the inclusion $\ell_s \subset \ell$. Further AK-property, Dual space of ℓ_s are studied.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05

Keywords: Schauder basis, dual space, solid, weak and strong convergence, separable

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let ℓ be an BK-space. We denote ℓ_s as the sequence consisting of all those sequences $\ell_s = \{x = (x_k) : (y_k) \in \ell\}$, where

$$y_k = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_k, \text{ for each fixed } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

For a sequence $(y_k) \in \ell_s$, we can calculate the sequence (x_k) by

$$x_1 = y_1,$$

$$x_2 = y_2 - x_1 = y_2 - y_1, x_3 = y_3 - x_1 - x_2 = y_3 - y_1 - (y_2 - y_1) = y_3 - y_2 \dots$$

$$x_n = y_n - y_{n-1}.$$

For any $x \in \ell_s$, we define

$$\|x\|_s = \{|x_1| + |x_1 + x_2| + \dots + |x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + x_{k+1}| + \dots\} < \infty.$$

For a given a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$, we define the n^{th} section as the sequence

$$x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}.$$

Let

$$\delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots),$$

where 1 is in the n^{th} place and -1 in the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ place.

An FK-space X is said to have AK-property if $\{\delta^{(n)}\}$ is a Schauder basis for X . The space X is said to have AD if Φ is dense in X . We note that $\text{AK} \Rightarrow \text{AD}$ by [1].

For the sequence space X , we define

$$X^\beta = \left\{ a = \{a_k\} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ is convergent, for each } x \in X \right\}$$

We called $X^\alpha, X^\beta, X^\gamma$ as the α -dual of X , β -dual of X , γ -dual of X , respectively. Note that $X^\alpha \subset X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$. If $X \subset Y$ then $Y^\mu \subset X^\mu$, for $\mu = \alpha, \beta$ and γ .

We have the following known results.

Lemma 1: (See Theorem 7.2.7.in [3])

Let X be an FK-space $\supset \Phi$. Then

(i) $X^\gamma \subset X^f$

(ii) If X has AK, $X^\beta = X^f$

(iii) If X has AD, $X^\beta = X^\gamma$

Lemma 2 (Page 69, 2.3.1 in [2]):

If a Normed space X has a Schauder basis, then X is separable.

2. Main Results:

In this section we study some of the property of ℓ_s .

Proposition-1: ℓ_s has Schauder basis namely $(e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_k, \dots)$, where $e_k = \{0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots\}$, 1 is in the k^{th} place and -1 is at the $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We know that $\{\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \dots\}$ is a Schauder basis for ℓ transformations given in the introduction. It follows that $(e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_k)$ is a Schauder basis for ℓ_s .

Theorem- 1 ; ℓ_s has AK-property.

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in \ell_s$. Then $T(y_k) \in \ell$ with $y_k = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k$.

Put $x^{(n)} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x^{(n)}\| &= \|0, 0, 0, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\| = |x_{n+1}| + |x_{n+1} + x_{n+2}| + \dots \\ &= |y_{n+1} - y_n| + |y_{n+2} - y_n| + |y_{n+3} - y_n| + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |y_k - y_n| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |y_k| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ because } (y_k) \in \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $0 \leq \|x - x^{(n)}\| \leq 0$, for sufficiently large n . Hence

$\|x - x^{(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the space ℓ_s has AK. This completes the proof.

Corollary -1: The set $\{\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \dots\}$ is a Schauder basis for ℓ_s .

Proof:. By p.59, 4.2.13 in [3].

Proposition- 2: $\ell_s \subset \ell$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. Let $x_k \in \ell_s$. Then $y_k \in \ell$. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| < \infty$. But as $x_k = y_k - y_{k-1}$. We have

$$|x_k| = |y_k - y_{k-1}| \leq |y_k| + |y_{k-1}|$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k-1}|$$

Hence $x_k \in \ell$. Consequently $\ell_s \subset \ell$.

Next we show that the above inclusion is strict. For this take the sequence $\delta^{(1)} = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then $\delta^{(1)} \in \ell$ and thus we have

$$y_1 = 1, y_2 = 1 + 0 = 1, y_3 = 1 + 0 + 0 = 1, \dots, y_k = 1 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 1. \quad \text{Now,}$$

$|y_k| = 1$ for all k . Hence $(|y_k|)$ does not tend to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\delta^{(1)} \notin \ell_s$.

Thus the inclusion $\ell_s \subset \ell$. This completes the proof.

heorem-2 : The dual of space ℓ_s is ℓ_{∞} .

Proof: A Schauder basis for ℓ_s is (e_k) where $e_k = (s^k)$ has 1 in the k^{th} place and -1 in the $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ place and zero's elsewhere. Let $x \in \ell_{ss}$. Then there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ such that $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k$ is unique. Now for any bounded linear

operator f on ℓ_s we have

$$f(x) = f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k e_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k f(e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \gamma_k,$$

where the numbers $\gamma_k = f(e_k)$ are uniquely determined by f . Also

$\gamma_k = f(e_k), |\gamma_k| = |f(e_k)|$. Since f is linear and bounded

$|\gamma_k| = |f(e_k)| \leq \|f\|_s \|e_k\|_s$. But

$$\|e_k\|_s = \|s^{(k)}\|_s = \|(0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots)\| = |0| + |0 + 0| + \dots + |0 + 1| + |1 - 1| + \dots$$

(sum of the first k terms) and $\|s^{(k)}\|_s = \|e_k\|_s = |1| = 1$. Thus

$$|\gamma_k| \leq \|f\|_s \|e_k\|_s \leq \|f\|_s \cdot 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |\gamma_k| \leq \|f\|_s \Rightarrow \sup_{(k)} |\gamma_k| \leq \|f\|_s = M.$$

Hence $(\gamma_k) \in \ell_\infty$. Therefore

$$(2.1) \quad \ell_s' \subset \ell_\infty.$$

But by Proposition-2, $\ell_s \subset \ell$. Hence $\ell' \subset \ell_s'$. As $\ell' = \ell_\infty$,

$$(2.2) \quad \ell_\infty \subset \ell_s'$$

Hence from (2.1) and (2.2) $\ell_s' = \ell_\infty$. This completes the proof.

Theorem-3 : The β -dual of ℓ_s is ℓ_∞ .

Proof: By Proposition-2 we get $\ell_s \subset \ell$. Hence $\ell^\beta \subset (\ell_s)^\beta$. But $\ell^\beta = \ell_\infty$. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \ell_\infty \subset (\ell_s)^\beta.$$

Next, let $y \in (\ell_s)^\beta$ and $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k y_k$ with $x \in \ell_s$. Take $x = s^{(k)} \in \ell_s$, where $s^{(k)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, \dots)$, $\{x_n\} = \{0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots\}$. As this converges to zero, $s^{(k)} \in \ell_s$. Hence

$$\|s^{(k)}\| = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |0| + |0+0| + |0+0+0| + \dots + \\ |0+0+\dots+1| + |0+0+\dots+1-1| + \dots \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|s^{(k)}\| = 1.$$

But

$$(2.4) \quad |y_n| = |f(s^{(k)})| \leq \|f\| \|s^{(k)}\| \leq \|f\| \cdot 1 = \|f\|.$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Further, as y is arbitrary in $(\ell_s)^\beta$.

$$(2.5) \quad (\ell_s)^\beta \subset \ell_\infty.$$

From (2.3) and (4.3) we get $(\ell_s)^\beta = \ell_\infty$. This completes the proof.

Proposition-3: ℓ_s is solid.

Proof: Let $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$ with $y = (y_k) \in \ell_s$. So $|\xi_k| \leq |\eta_k|$ with $\eta = (y_k) \in \ell$. But ℓ is solid. Hence $\xi = (\xi_k) \in \ell$. Therefore $x = (x_k) \in \ell$. Hence ℓ_s is solid. This completes the proof.

Corollary-2: In ℓ_s , weak convergence does not imply strong convergence.

Proof: Assume that weak convergence implies strong convergence in ℓ_s . Then we would have $(\ell_s)^{\beta\beta} = \ell_s$ [see(1)]. But $(\ell_s)^{\beta\beta} = (\ell_\infty)^\beta = \ell$. By Proposition 2. ℓ_s is a proper subspace of ℓ . Thus $(\ell_s)^{\beta\beta} \neq \ell_s$. Hence weak convergence does not imply strong convergence in ℓ_s .

This completes the proof.

Corollary-3: $(\ell_s)^\mu = \ell_\infty$ where $\mu = \alpha, \beta, \gamma, f$.

Proof: ℓ_s has AK property, by theorem- 1. Hence by Theorem- 7.3.9 in [3] we get

$$(\ell_s)^\beta = (\ell_s)^f. \text{ But } (\ell_s)^\beta = \ell_\infty. \text{ Hence}$$

$$(2.6) \quad (\ell_s)^f = \ell_\infty.$$

Since $AK \Rightarrow AD$, from [3] we get $(\ell_s)^\beta = (\ell_s)^\gamma$. Therefore

$$(2.7) \quad (\ell_s)^\gamma = \ell_\infty.$$

By proposition-3, we have ℓ_s is solid. Hence by Theorem 7.3.9 in [3], We get

$$(2.8) \quad (\ell_s)^\alpha = (\ell_s)^\gamma = \ell_\infty.$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we have $(\ell_s)^\alpha = (\ell_s)^\beta = (\ell_s)^\gamma = (\ell_s)^f = \ell_\infty$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem -4: Let Y be any FK-space $\supset \Phi$. Then $Y \supset \ell_s$ if and only if $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$ is weakly bounded.

Proof: In order to establish the result it is enough to establish the following result:

$$Y \supset \ell_s \Leftrightarrow Y^f \subset (\ell_s)^f.$$

Since ℓ_s has AD and $(\ell_s)^f = \ell_\infty$, by using Theorem 8.6.1 in [3] we have

$$Y^f \subset \ell_\infty.$$

\Leftrightarrow for each $f \in Y'$, the topological dual of $Y \Leftrightarrow f(\delta^{(k)}) \in \ell_\infty$

$\Leftrightarrow f(\delta^{(k)})$ is bounded \Leftrightarrow The sequence $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$ is weakly bounded.

This completes the proof.

Theorem-5: In ℓ_s , weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent.

Proof: Let $a = \{a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \dots\}$ be weakly convergent and let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix. Let us assume that A is coercive. Since $(\ell_s)' = \ell_\infty$, it is a conservative matrix. So the column exists by, Theorem 1.3.6 in [3]. By using Theorem 1.3.7 in [3]

$$|a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \dots| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |a_{n1}| + |a_{n1} + a_{n2}| + \\ |a_{n1} + a_{n2} + a_{n3}| + \dots \end{array} \right\} \leq \|A\|.$$

Since bounded monotonic sequence converges, $a \in \ell_s$.

This completes the proof.

Proposition-4: ℓ_s is not perfect.

Proof: We know that $(\ell_s)' = \ell_\infty$. Hence $(\ell_s)'' = (\ell_\infty)'$. But as $(\ell_\infty)' = \ell$, $(\ell_s)'' = \ell$. Hence ℓ_s is not perfect. This completes the proof.

Proposition-5: The space ℓ_s is separable.

Proof: By Proposition 1, we have ℓ_s has Schauder basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \dots\}$. Also ℓ_s is a Banach space. Hence, by the Lemma- 2, it follows that ℓ_s is separable. This completes the proof.

Proposition-6: The space ℓ_∞ is not separable.

Proof: By Theorem 1.3.9 in [2].

Proposition-7: The space ℓ_s is not reflexive.

Proof. By Proposition-5, we have ℓ_s is separable. But, by Proposition 2 $(\ell_s)' = \ell_\infty$. Since ℓ_∞ is not separable by Proposition-6, ℓ_s is not reflexive. This completes the proof.

Theorem-6: The space ℓ_s is an inner product space but not a Hilbert space.

Proof. The proof will be established by showing that the norm satisfies the law of parallelogram . Let us take

$$x = \{1, -1, 0, \dots\} \in \ell_s \quad \text{and} \quad y = \{1, -1, 0, \dots\} \in \ell_s.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_s &= \{|x_1| + |x_1 + x_2| + |x_1 + x_2 + x_3| + \dots\} \\ &= \{|1| + |1 - 1| + |1 - 1 + 0| + \dots\} = \{1 + 0 + 0 + \dots\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|y\|_s = \{|1| + |1 - 1| + |1 - 1 + 0| + \dots\} = \{1 + 0 + 0 + \dots\} = 1$$

Consider,

$$\|x + y\|_s = \{|x_1 + y_1| + |(x_1 + y_1) + (x_2 + y_2)| + \dots\} = 2$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\|_s &= \{|x_1 - y_1| + |(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2)| + |(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) + (x_3 - y_3)| + \dots\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\Rightarrow 2^2 + 0 = 2\{1^2 + 1^2\} \Rightarrow 4 = 4 \quad .$$

Thus parallelogram law is satisfied. Therefore ℓ_s is an inner product space.

For the proof of the second part let us suppose that ℓ_s is a Hilbert space. Then by [2] (Theorem 4.6.6) ℓ_s would satisfy reflexivity condition . This contradicts Proposition-7. Hence ℓ_s is not a Hilbert space. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] **Brown, H. I**, The summability field of a perfect $\ell - \ell$ method of summation
Journal D'Analyse Mathématique, 20 (1967), 281-287.

[2] **Erwin, Kreyszig**, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1978.

[3] **Wilansky, A**, Summability through Functional Analysis, North Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol.85, North-Holland ,Amsterdam, 1984.

Received: November, 2008